

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

United States of America

v.

Jamel Jones,  
Michael Latimer,  
Justin Rivers, and  
Keith Lynch

*Defendants.*

**Protective Order**

**20 Cr. 202 (LAP)**  
**S1 20 Cr. 202 (LAP)**

Upon the application of the United States of America, with the consent of the undersigned counsel, and the defendants having requested discovery under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

1. **Disclosure Material.** The Government has made and will make disclosure to the defendants of documents, objects and information, including electronically stored information (“ESI”), pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16, 18 U.S.C. §3500, and the Government’s general obligation to produce exculpatory and impeachment material in criminal cases, all of which will be referred to herein as “disclosure material.” The Government’s disclosure material may include material that (i) affects the privacy and confidentiality of individuals; (ii) would impede, if prematurely disclosed, the Government’s ongoing investigation of uncharged individuals; (iii) would risk prejudicial pretrial publicity if publicly disseminated; and (iv) that is not authorized to be disclosed to the public or disclosed beyond that which is necessary for the defense of this criminal case. Further, disclosure material may be contained within ESI that the Government has seized, pursuant to warrants issued during the course of the investigation, from various cell phones and social media accounts.

2. **Sensitive Disclosure Material.** Certain of the Government’s disclosure material,

referred to herein as “sensitive disclosure material,” contains information that (a) identifies, or could lead to the identification of, witnesses who may be subject to intimidation or obstruction, and whose lives, persons, and property, as well as the lives, persons and property of loved ones, will be subject to risk of harm absent the protective considerations set forth herein; or (b) reflects personal identification information (including but not limited to addresses, phone numbers, social security numbers, dates of birth, and/or account numbers) and other highly personal information, such as medical records. The Government’s designation of material as sensitive disclosure material will be controlling absent contrary order of the Court.

3. **Facilitation of Discovery.** The entry of a protective order in this case will permit the Government to produce expeditiously the disclosure material without further litigation. It will also afford the defense prompt access to those materials, which will facilitate the preparation of the defense.

4. **Good Cause.** There is good cause for entry of the protective order set forth herein.

**ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

5. The Government is authorized to disclose to counsel for the defendants, for use solely as permitted herein, the entirety of any seized ESI that may contain disclosure material. The defendant, defense counsel, and personnel for whose conduct counsel is responsible, *i.e.*, personnel employed by or retained by counsel, may review the seized ESI disclosure material to identify items pertinent to the defense. They shall not further disseminate or disclose any portion of the seized ESI disclosure material except as otherwise set forth under this Order.

6. Disclosure material shall not be disclosed by the defendant or defense counsel,

including any successor counsel (“the defense”) other than as set forth herein, and shall be used by the defense solely for purposes of defending this action. The defense shall not post any disclosure material on any Internet site or network site to which persons other than the parties hereto have access, and shall not disclose any disclosure material to the media or any third party except as set forth below.

7. Disclosure material that is not sensitive disclosure material may be disclosed by counsel to:

(a) Personnel for whose conduct counsel is responsible, *i.e.*, personnel employed by or retained by counsel, as needed for purposes of defending this action; and

(b) Prospective witnesses for purposes of defending this action.

8. The Government may authorize, in writing, disclosure of disclosure material beyond that otherwise permitted by this Order without further Order of this Court.

9. This Order does not prevent the disclosure of any disclosure material in any hearing or trial held in this action, or to any judge or magistrate judge, for purposes of this action. All filings should comply with the privacy protection provisions of Fed. R. Crim. P. 49.1.

10. Except for disclosure material that has been made part of the record of this case, the defense shall return to the Government or securely destroy or delete all disclosure material, including the seized ESI material, within 30 days of the expiration of the period for direct appeal from any verdict in the above-captioned case; the period of direct appeal from any order dismissing any of the charges in the above-captioned case; or the granting of any motion made on behalf of the Government dismissing any charges in the above-captioned case, whichever date is later. If

disclosure material is provided to any prospective witnesses, counsel shall make reasonable efforts to seek the return or destruction of such materials.

11. The defense shall provide a copy of this Order to prospective witnesses and persons retained by counsel to whom the defense has disclosed disclosure material. All such persons shall be subject to the terms of this Order. Defense counsel shall maintain a record of what information has been disclosed to which such persons.


12. This Order places no restriction on a defendant's use or disclosure of ESI that originally belonged to the defendant.

**Retention of Jurisdiction**

13. The provisions of this order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this criminal prosecution and the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order following termination of the case.

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

GEOFFREY S. BERMAN  
United States Attorney

by:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jamie Bagliebter  
Assistant United States Attorney

Date: 4/3/2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
Leonardo Aldridge  
Counsel for Jamel Jones

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Telesforo Del Valle, Jr.  
Counsel for Michael Latimer

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Eric Franz  
Counsel for Justin Rivers

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
David Bertan  
Counsel for Keith Lynch

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:

Dated: New York, New York  
April 3, 2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE LORETTA A. PRESKA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**Retention of Jurisdiction**


13. The provisions of this order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this criminal prosecution and the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order following termination of the case.

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

GEOFFREY S. BERMAN  
United States Attorney

by: \_\_\_\_\_  
Jamie Bagliebter  
Assistant United States Attorney

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Leonardo Aldridge  
Counsel for Jamel Jones

Date: April 7, 2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
Telesforo Del Valle, Jr.  
Counsel for Michael Latimer

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Eric Franz  
Counsel for Justin Rivers

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
David Bertan  
Counsel for Keith Lynch

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:

Dated: New York, New York  
April \_\_, 2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE LORETTA A. PRESKA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**Retention of Jurisdiction**

13. The provisions of this order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this criminal prosecution and the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order following termination of the case.

**AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:**

GEOFFREY S. BERMAN  
United States Attorney

by: \_\_\_\_\_

Jamie Bagliebter  
Assistant United States Attorney

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Leonardo Aldridge  
Counsel for Jamel Jones

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*Telesforo Del Valle, Jr.*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Telesforo Del Valle, Jr.  
Counsel for Michael Latimer

Date: 04-13-2020

*Eric Franz*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Eric Franz  
Counsel for Justin Rivers

Date: 4/4/20

\_\_\_\_\_  
David Bertan  
Counsel for Keith Lynch

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**SO ORDERED:**

Dated: New York, New York  
April \_\_, 2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE LORETTA A. PRESKA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**Retention of Jurisdiction**

13. The provisions of this order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this criminal prosecution and the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order following termination of the case.

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

GEOFFREY S. BERMAN  
United States Attorney

by: \_\_\_\_\_  
Jamie Bagliebter  
Assistant United States Attorney


Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Leonardo Aldridge  
Counsel for Jamel Jones

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Telesforo Del Valle, Jr.  
Counsel for Michael Latimer

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Eric Franz  
Counsel for Justin Rivers

Date: 4/4/20

\_\_\_\_\_  
David Bertan  
Counsel for Keith Lynch

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

SO ORDERED:

Dated: New York, New York  
April \_\_, 2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE LORETTA A. PRESKA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



### Retention of Jurisdiction

13. The provisions of this order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this criminal prosecution and the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order following termination of the case.

#### AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

GEOFFREY S. BERMAN  
United States Attorney

by: \_\_\_\_\_  
Jamie Bagliebter  
Assistant United States Attorney

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Leonardo Aldridge  
Counsel for Jamel Jones

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Telesforo Del Valle, Jr.  
Counsel for Michael Latimer

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Eric Franz  
Counsel for Justin Rivers

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreed: David Bertan    
David Bertan  
Counsel for Keith Lynch

Date: April 10, 2020

#### SO ORDERED:

Dated: New York, New York  
~~April \_\_, 2020~~  
**May 11, 2020**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE LORETTA A. PRESKA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE